

**Note**

**Use of Gaussian Convergence Factors in the Numerical Evaluation of Slowly Converging Integrals\***

1. *Introduction*

A number of methods have been proposed for the numerical evaluation of slowly converging integrals with infinite limits. Here we describe a method based on extrapolation. The convergence factor  $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2)$  is inserted in the integrand, the integral is evaluated for several small values of  $\sigma$ , and the results are extrapolated to  $\sigma = 0$ . The point of the method is that it is often computationally easier to evaluate the several integrals than to try to evaluate the original integral directly.

2. *The Extrapolation Procedure*

It is convenient to base the description of extrapolation method on the integral

$$F(y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-iuy} f(u) du, \tag{1}$$

which is of a type that often converges slowly. Let

$$F(y, \sigma) = \int_0^\infty \exp[-iuy - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2] f(u) du \tag{2}$$

and let  $F(y, \sigma_k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , be the values of  $F(y, \sigma)$  obtained by numerical integration of (2) for a sequence of decreasing values of  $\sigma$ . Then extrapolating the values of  $F(y, \sigma_k)$  to  $\sigma = 0$  gives an approximation for  $F(y)$ . From the second mean-value theorem and the fact that  $\exp[-\sigma^2 u^2/2]$  is a monotonic function of  $u$  it can be shown that if the integral (1) converges then the integral (2) for  $F(y, \sigma)$  converges and tends to  $F(y)$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ .

The extrapolation formulas are based upon the fact that  $F(y, \sigma)$  can also be expressed as

$$F(y, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(y') \exp[-(y - y')^2/(2\sigma^2)] dy'. \tag{3}$$

This follows from (2) and the convolution theorem for Fourier integrals [1, pp.

\* This research was sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Force Systems Command, USAF, under Grant AFOSR 74-2689.

25–27]. Expanding  $F(y')$  in a Taylor's series about  $y$  and integrating termwise gives the formal result

$$F(y, \sigma) = F(y) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} C_{2n}(y) \sigma^{2n} + O(\sigma^{2N}). \tag{4}$$

Ignoring  $O(\sigma^{2N})$ , putting  $\sigma = \sigma_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , and solving the resulting  $N$  equations for  $F(y)$  gives an  $N$ th-order extrapolation to  $\sigma = 0$  [2].

When  $\sigma_k = \sigma_1 r^{k-1}$  with  $0 < r < 1$ , the extrapolation can be cast in the form of a sequence of approximations similar to the ones appearing in Romberg integration [3]. Denote the values of  $F(y, \sigma_k)$  by  $F_a(1, k)$  (the first approximations). The second approximations, obtained by eliminating  $C_2(y)$  between successive pairs of equations (4), are

$$F_a(2, k) = \frac{F_a(1, k+1) - r^2 F_a(1, k)}{1 - r^2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \tag{5}$$

The  $(j+1)$ st set of approximations can be obtained from the  $j$ th set by using

$$F_a(j+1, k) = \frac{F_a(j, k+1) - r^{2j} F_a(j, k)}{1 - r^{2j}}, \tag{6}$$

where  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N-j$ .

A convenient arrangement of these approximations is

$k$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$	
1	$F_a(1, 1)$	$F_a(2, 1)$	$F_a(3, 1)$	$F_a(4, 1)$	
2	$F_a(1, 2)$	$F_a(2, 2)$	$F_a(3, 2)$		
3	$F_a(1, 3)$	$F_a(2, 3)$			
4	$F_a(1, 4)$				

where  $N = 4$  has been taken for illustration. When the extrapolation is working well, the entries in the columns either increase or decrease regularly, and  $F_a(3, 1)$  and  $F_a(3, 2)$  are nearly equal to the final approximation  $F_a(4, 1)$  to  $F(y)$ . Furthermore for the larger values of  $k$  the ratios

$$[F_a(j, k+1) - F_a(4, 1)] / [F_a(j, k) - F_a(4, 1)] \tag{8}$$

obtained from the  $j$ th column are nearly equal to  $r^{2j}$ .

If  $F(y, \sigma)$  decreases steadily as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , (4) suggests that  $(d/dy)^2 F(y) \geq 0$ , and vice versa. When  $F(y)$  has a finite jump at  $y = y_1$ , the integral (1) does not converge at  $y = y_1$  but (3) suggests that

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} F(y_1, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} [F(y_1 - 0) + F(y_1 + 0)].$$

We have been unable to find a straightforward procedure for selecting the best values of  $\sigma_1$  and  $N$ . In the uses we have made of the extrapolation method we have arbitrarily taken  $N = 4$  (i.e., the array (7)) and  $r^2 = 1/2$ . Different values of  $\sigma_1$  were tried until an array was obtained whose regularity indicated that  $F_a(4, 1)$  did indeed give  $F(y)$  to within the required accuracy. It was observed that when  $y$  was near a singularity of  $F(y)$ , say at  $y_1$ , a value of  $\sigma_1$  that made

$$\exp[-(y - y_1)^2 / (2\sigma_1^2)] \tag{9}$$

equal to the allowable error was satisfactory.

3. Example

To illustrate the extrapolation method we use it to evaluate the integral

$$K_0(y) = \int_0^\infty (1 + u^2)^{-1/2} \cos(yu) du, \quad y > 0, \tag{10}$$

where  $K_0(y)$  is the modified Bessel function (Eq. 9.6.21 in [4]). The integral corresponding to (2) is

$$F(y, \sigma) = \int_0^\infty \exp[-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2] (1 + u^2)^{-1/2} \cos(yu) du. \tag{11}$$

Suppose we are interested in accuracies of order  $10^{-6}$ . Since  $K_0(y)$  is known to have a singularity at  $y = 0$ , we set  $y_1 = 0$  in (9) and then set the expression (9) equal to  $10^{-6}$ . This gives an equation whose solution is approximately  $\sigma_1 = y/5$ .

To illustrate the computation we take  $y = 1$ ,  $\sigma_1 = 0.2$ , and  $r^2 = 0.5$ . Evaluating (11) for  $\sigma_k = 0.2 r^{k-1}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , leads to the following array of approximations to  $K_0(1)$ :

$N$	$k$	$\sigma_k$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$
80	1	0.2000	0.442999	0.420205	0.421058	0.421023
110	2	0.1414	0.431602	0.420844	0.421028	
160	3	0.1000	0.426223	0.420982		
220	4	0.0707	0.423603			

The first column shows the number of points used to evaluate the integral (11) by the trapezoidal rule with a spacing of  $\Delta u = 0.4$  (the integral is suited to evaluation by the trapezoidal rule because the integrand is an even analytic function). The entries in the  $j = 1$  column are the values of  $F(y, \sigma_k)$  calculated from (11) with  $y = 1$ . They correspond to the  $F_a(1, k)$ 's in array (7). The following columns are obtained step by step using (6). The entry in the last column agrees well with the exact value  $K_0(1) = 0.421014\dots$ . Note the regularity of the entries in the array.

## REFERENCES

1. A. PAPOULIS, "The Fourier Integral and Its Applications," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962.
2. D. C. JOYCE, Survey of extrapolation processes in numerical analysis, *SIAM Rev.* **13**, No. 4 (1971), 435-490.
3. E. ISAACSON AND H. B. KELLER., "Analysis of Numerical Methods," Wiley, New York, 1966.
4. M. ABRAMOWITZ AND I. A. STEGUN, "Handbook of Mathematical Functions," Dover, N.Y., 1972.

RECEIVED: March 1, 1978; REVISED: October 31, 1979

ROBERT LUGANNANI AND STEPHEN RICE

*Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, California 92093*